# KEY ISSUES AND CHALLENGES IN THE DEEPENING PENETRATION OF DEMAND RESPONSE RESOURCES presentation by George Gross University of Illinois at Champaign-Urbana at the IEEE Distinguished Lecturer Program IEEE Power and Energy Society Chicago Chapter March 13, 2013

© 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

## **OVERVIEW**

□ We focus on the key developments in the

implementation of demand response resources or

DRRs, with special attention to their economic

and policy aspects

□ We highlight recent demand response challenges

© 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

in the integration of deepening levels of DRR

penetration and success stories

## OUTLINE

□ *DSM*: the predecessor to today's *DRR*s

**Demand response: motivation and capabilities** 

□ Key demand response drivers

□ *DRR* challenges and limitations

□ DRR contributions

Concluding remarks

© 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

3

FROM DEMAND-SIDE

**MANAGEMENT TO** *DRR***s** 

### **DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT**

- □ In the regulated environment, the term demandside management (*DSM*) was used to refer to the implementation of programs that modify the demand of the system
- In practical terms, a DSM program is any measure that influences load on the *customer side* of the meter
- In analogy to supply-side resources, demand-side resources can be targeted for base, intermediate and peaking applications

© 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved





## NATURE OF DRR



resources to meet the supply-demand balance

© 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved









### DRRs ARE ATTRACTIVE

Jon Wellinghoff, Chairman, FERC: "There are tremendous benefits from demand response at very low costs, costs much lower than we can put any supply in place. This is the first fuel."
Jim Rogers, CEO, Duke Energy: "The most environmentally responsible plant you build is the one that you don't build."

© 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved



### DRR PROVISION OF CAPACITY-BASED ANCILLARY SERVICES





#### DRR PROVIDED REGULATION SERVICE



© 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved



### **ECONOMIC LOAD PARTICIPATION**

- □ The *NREL* study investigated the costs of providing additional spinning reserves
  - **O** each additional 5 % increment of committed spinning reserve is increasingly expensive
  - **O** additional spinning reserves can reduce but not eliminate contingency shortfalls
- Demand response is considerably more economic than spinning reserves and can result in major savings as it is more cost-effective to have DRRs address the hours of contingency reserves shortfalls rather than increase reserves for 8,760 hours © 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved





## THE SMART GRID

The smart grid represents a modernized electricity delivery system that monitors, protects and automatically optimizes the operation of all its interconnected elements – from the central and distributed generator, through the highvoltage transmission grid and the distribution network to industrial users and building automation systems, to energy storage devices and to end-use consumers and their thermostats, electric vehicles, appliances and other devices.

© 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

**THREE SALIENT ASPECTS** 

- Combined digital intelligence and real-time communications: to improve the operations/control of the transmission and distribution grids
- Advanced metering solutions: to replace the legacy metering infrastructure
- Deployment of appropriate technologies, devices, and services: to access and leverage energy usage information in smart appliances and in the integration of renewable energy O2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

### **CUSTOMERS AND THE SMART GRID**



### ADVANCED METERING INFRASTRUCTURE (AMI) EVOLUTION





### 2011 STATS FOR THE TWO LARGEST AGGREGATORS

| aggregator                     | Comverge | EnerNOC |
|--------------------------------|----------|---------|
| demand portfolio size<br>(MW)  | 4,564    | 7,100   |
| annual portfolio<br>growth (%) | 22       | 34      |
| revenues (million \$)          | 136.4    | 286.6   |
| annual revenue<br>growth (%)   | 14       | 2.1     |

© 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

33

### ENERNOC DEMAND PORTFOLIO GROWTH





## FEDERAL REGULATORY INITIATIVES ON DRR

requires determination of the threshold price by the net benefits test (*NBT*) and the payment to each *DRR*, that satisfies the *NBT*, at the postcurtailment *LMP* for its accepted



## FERC REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS

| key objectives                                    | FERC Order No. |
|---|----------------|
| remove market barriers                            | 719, 745       |
| allow aggregation                                 | 719            |
| provide AS by DRRs                                | 719            |
| incentivize for DRR participation in<br>DAMs/RTMs | 745            |
| © 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved          | 31             |

### FERC ORDER NO. 745

 FERC Order No. 745 specified the incentives to the DRRs for load curtailments in the DAMs
The Order mandated each ISO/RTO to perform a monthly net benefits test (NBT) to determine its monthly threshold price criterion, to serve as the trigger for the compensation to each DRR at its nodal LMP

## FERC ORDER NO. 745

□ The Order represents a significant increase in

**DRR** incentives over past practices

□ These incentives provide major stimulus for *DRR* 

participation in electricity markets

□ The Order represents a major push in the encou-

ragement of the implementation of additional DRR



### **REPRESENTATIVE STATE – LEVEL** *TOU* **PRICING TARIFFS**

| Arizona                  | 1/3 of Arizona Public Service and Salt River<br>Project residential customers voluntarily on time-<br>of-use rates       |
|--------------------------|--|
| California               | all three IOUs approved to offer dynamic pricing<br>tariffs in 2013  |
| Arkansas and<br>Oklahoma | state commissions approved residential variable<br>peak pricing on a default basis with the option to<br>opt-out         |
| Illinois                 | Ameren Illinois and Commonwealth Edison<br>received ICC approval to establish real-time<br>pricing programs              |
| Connecticut              | all electric distribution companies must offer<br>critical peak or real-time pricing programs to all<br>customer classes |
|                          | © 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved 42  |





# DRR LIMITATIONS AND

**CHALLENGES** 



### UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES OF DRRs





## 20-MW CURTAILMENT AT BUS 3







## SIMULATION STUDIES

We discuss *DRR* recovery energy impacts with a series of backcast sensitivity studies for the year
2010 using *MISO* offer, load, and generation mix data

□ We simulate the day-ahead market outcomes in

2010 under varying DRR penetration levels,

utilization intensity and recovery energy values © 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

## SIMULATION STUDIES

□ We compare *DRR* economic/emission impacts of

these cases with respect to the no DRR case

□ We use the average locational marginal prices

(ALMPs) and the average per MWh CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

as the basic metrics of comparison

© 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

| DRR IMPACT CASE STUDY TEST<br>SYSTEMS |                                       |   |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| study system<br>name                  | test system                           | source of offer, load<br>and generation mix<br>data |
| <b>S</b> <sub>57</sub>                | IEEE 57-bus                           | MISO  |
|                                       | © 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reser | ved   |

## DRR IMPACT SENSITIVITY STUDIES

| parameter                           | range  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| DRR capacity                        | 1 – 20 % of peak load                        |
| DRR recovery energy<br>percentage   | 0 – 120 % of curtailed<br>energy             |
| DRR intensity (low/medium/<br>high) | 2, 4, 6 out of 8 potential curtailment hours |

© 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved



#### S<sub>57</sub> PRICE IMPACTS UNDER HIGH DRR INTENSITY





### S<sub>57</sub> EMISSION IMPACTS UNDER HIGH DRR INTENSITY



## **STUDY FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS**

The consideration of energy recovery reduces drastically the system-wide economic benefits of

**DRR** curtailments and, below certain penetration

levels, makes curtailments uneconomic

**DRR** utilization at medium to high intensity, modest

recovery percentages, and penetrations within the

FERC's achievable participation range may lead to: © 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

65

## **STUDY FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS**

O uneconomic outcomes or severely diminished

**ALMP reductions** 

**O** emission increases or severely diminished

emission reductions

Deepening penetrations of wind generation may

alleviate the severely diminished ALMP and CO<sub>2</sub>

reductions

© 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

# **DRR** CONTRIBUTIONS

## VALUE ADDED BY DRRs

□ *DRRs* add value to the electric grid as a cost-

effective and clean resource for providing

"energy" and ancillary services

□ The deployment of *DRR*s presents opportunities

to increase the effectiveness of grid utilization

and address the operational challenges in the

integration of renewable resources



## **COLD STORAGE LOAD**

| enterprise         | Four Seasons Produce                                       | e, Inc.                                   |
|--------------------|--|---|
| location           | Pennsylvania   |   |
| program            | EnerNOC DemandSM<br>synchronized reserves<br>load response | IART <sup>TM</sup> , PJM<br>and emergency |
| curtailment source | chiller reductions   |   |
| curtailment range  | <b>0.4</b> – 1 <i>MW</i>                                   |   |
| annual rebates     | \$ 25,000  |   |

0000

Polar CHILLER

71

Source: Case Studies, EnerNOC, http://www.enernoc.com/our-resources/case-studies © 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

## **COLD STORAGE LOAD**

| enterprise         | VersaCold                                       |
|--------------------|---|
| location           | Ontario, Canada; Pennsylvania                   |
| program            | EnerNOC DemandSMART <sup>TM</sup>               |
| curtailment source | equipment shutdowns, temperature<br>adjustments |
| curtailment limit  | 3.2 <i>MW</i>                                   |
| annual rebates     | \$ 160,000                                      |
|                    |   |

Source: Case Studies, EnerNOC, http://www.enernoc.com/our-resources/case-studies © 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

### **MANUFACTURING LOAD**

| enterprise         | Leggett & Platt   |
|--------------------|---|
| location           | Texas and Illinois  |
| program            | EnerNOC DemandSMART <sup>TM</sup> ,<br>emergency response service, PJM<br>emergency load response |
| curtailment source | partial/total operational shutdowns   |
| curtailment limit  | 12 <i>MW</i>  |
| annual rebates     | \$ 400,000  |

Source: Case Studies, EnerNOC, http://www.enernoc.com/our-resources/case-studies © 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

73

## **GOVERNMENT FACILITIES**

| agency             | U.S. DOD                          |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| location           | throughout the United States      |
| program            | demand response                   |
| curtailment source | building energy usage adjustments |
| curtailment limit  | > 300,000 <i>buildings</i>        |
| annual rebates     | \$ 14,000,000                     |



Source: White House highlights demand response activities, opportunities, Platts, http://www.platts.com/RSSFeedDetailedNews/RSSFeed/ElectricPower/6201047 © 2013 George Gross, All Rights Reserved

## **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

